

# PROOF OF THE LEGENDRE'S CONJECTURE

ANKUSH GOSWAMI

ABSTRACT. Legendre's conjecture states that there exists a prime between  $n^2$  and  $(n+1)^2$ , for every positive integer  $n$ . Here I prove that for sufficiently large  $n$ , there is a prime number between  $n^2$  and  $(n+1)^2$ . The proof relies on the idea of counting the maximum power,  $o_p(n)$  of a prime  $p \leq n$  such that  $p^{o_p(n)} || n$ .

## 1. NOTATIONS

We fix some notations and conventions that will be used throughout this paper.  $n$  will always denote a sufficiently large positive integer, whose meaning would be understood from the context.

- $[x]$  denotes the greatest integer less than or equal to  $x$ .
- $p$  will always denote a prime number.

**Theorem 1.1.** (*Legendre's Conjecture*)

*There is a prime number between  $n^2$  and  $(n+1)^2$  for every positive integer  $n$*

To prove (1.1), we need the following results.

## 2. SOME ESTABLISHED RESULTS

We state below some important results without proof.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Given a positive natural number  $n > 1$ . If  $p$  denotes any prime less than  $n$ . Then the maximum power of  $p$  (denoted by  $o_p(n!)$ ) that occurs in  $n!$  is,*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{\log n}{\log p} \right\rfloor} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^k} \right\rfloor$$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $n$  and  $k$  be positive integers with  $n \leq k$ . Suppose  $p \leq n$  be any prime such that  $p^m | \binom{n}{k}$ , for any natural number  $m$ . Then*

$$p^m \leq n$$

**Theorem 2.3.** *For any positive real number  $x$ , denote*

$$(2.2) \quad \nu(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \log p$$

*Then  $\nu(x) < 1.01624x$*

$\nu(x)$  is also known as the *first Chebychev's function*.

---

Date: 24.11.2012.

**Theorem 2.4.** *The number of primes less than or equal to  $n$ , denoted by  $\pi(n)$  satisfies,*

$$\frac{1}{6} \frac{n}{\log n} < \pi(n) < 6 \frac{n}{\log n}$$

for any  $n > 1$ .

### 3. PROOF OF 1.1

*Proof.* Let there does not exist any prime between  $n^2$  and  $(n+1)^2$ . Hence all the numbers between  $n^2$  and  $(n+1)^2$  are composite and their prime factors  $p$  satisfies

$$p \leq \frac{(n+1)^2}{2}$$

The theorem will be proved if we can show that

$$(3.1) \quad \prod_{p \leq \frac{(n+1)^2}{2}} p^{o_p((n+1)^2!) - o_p(n^2!)} < \frac{(n+1)^2!}{n^2!}.$$

We divide the proof into the following subsections.

$$3.1. \text{ Estimation of the product } \prod_{p \leq \frac{(n+1)^2}{2}} p^{o_p((n+1)^2!) - o_p(n^2!)}.$$

**Case A:** If  $p \geq 2n+1$ , then it is clear that  $o_p((n+1)^2!) - o_p(n^2!) \leq 1$ .

**Case B:** If  $p < 2n+1$ , we estimate the following.

We know that

$$\frac{(n+1)^2!}{n^2!} = (2n+1)! \binom{(n+1)^2}{2n+1}$$

Thus

$$o_p((n+1)^2!) - o_p(n^2!) = o_p((2n+1)!) + o_p\left(\binom{(n+1)^2}{2n+1}\right)$$

Hence

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \prod_{p < 2n+1} p^{(o_p((n+1)^2!) - o_p(n^2!))} &= \prod_{p < 2n+1} p^{(o_p((2n+1)!) + o_p\left(\binom{(n+1)^2}{2n+1}\right))} \\ &= \underbrace{\prod_{p < 2n+1} p^{o_p((2n+1)!)}}_{P_1} \underbrace{\prod_{p < 2n+1} p^{o_p\left(\binom{(n+1)^2}{2n+1}\right)}}_{P_2} \end{aligned}$$

#### 3.1.1. Estimation of $P_1$ .

From Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} o_p((2n+1)!) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\left[\frac{\log(2n+1)}{\log p}\right]} \left\lfloor \frac{2n+1}{p^k} \right\rfloor \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\left[\frac{\log(2n+1)}{\log p}\right]} \frac{2n+1}{p^k} \\ &\leq \frac{2n}{p-1} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(3.4) \quad p^{o_p((2n+1)!)} \leq p^{\frac{2n}{p-1}}$$

3.1.2. *Estimation of  $P_2$ .*

To estimate  $P_2$ , we appeal to Theorem 2.2 to obtain

$$(3.5) \quad \prod_{p < 2n+1} p^{o_p\left(\binom{(n+1)^2}{2n+1}\right)} \leq (n+1)^{2\pi(2n)}$$

Thus putting the estimates of (3.4) and (3.5) in (3.3), we obtain

$$\prod_{p < 2n+1} p^{(o_p((n+1)^2!) - o_p(n^2!))} \leq \left( \prod_{p < 2n+1} p^{\frac{2n}{p-1}} \right) (n+1)^{2\pi(2n)}.$$

And hence from **Case A** and **Case B**, we get

$$(3.6) \quad \prod_{p \leq \frac{(n+1)^2}{2}} p \leq \left( \prod_{p < 2n+1} p^{\frac{2n}{p-1}} \right) (n+1)^{2\pi(2n)} \left( \prod_{2n+1 \leq p \leq \frac{(n+1)^2}{2}} p \right)$$

Taking logarithm on both sides of (3.6) we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad \log \left( \prod_{p \leq \frac{(n+1)^2}{2}} p^{o_p((n+1)^2) - o_p(n^2)} \right) \leq \underbrace{2n \sum_{p < 2n+1} \frac{\log p}{p-1}}_{S_1} + \underbrace{2\pi(2n) \log(n+1)}_{S_2} + \underbrace{\sum_{2n+1 \leq p \leq \frac{(n+1)^2}{2}} \log p}_{S_3}$$

We evaluate each of the three terms on the right of (3.7) separately.

3.1.3. *Evaluation of  $S_1$ .*

We observe that  $p-1$  is even for every prime  $p > 2$ . Thus for sufficiently large  $n$  we obtain the following using Theorem 2.3

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \times 2n \sum_{p < 2n+1} \log p \\ &\leq 1.01624 n \log(2n+1) \end{aligned}$$

3.1.4. *Evaluation of  $S_2$ .*

From Theorem 2.4, we obtain

$$S_2 < 24n$$

3.1.5. *Evaluation of  $S_3$ .*

In **Case A**, we noticed that

$$o_p((n+1)^2) - o_p(n^2) \leq 1, \text{ if } 2n+1 \leq p \leq \frac{(n+1)^2}{2}.$$

Thus for  $2n+1 \leq p \leq \frac{(n+1)^2}{2}$ , only multiples of  $p$  of the form  $kp$ , for some integer  $k$  and  $(k, p) = 1$  may occur among numbers from  $n^2 + 1$  to  $(n+1)^2$ . Said differently, if such a multiple of  $p$  occurs that is, if

$$(3.8) \quad n^2 < kp \leq (n+1)^2$$

then there should exist a  $k$  satisfying

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{n^2}{p} < k \leq \frac{(n+1)^2}{p}$$

Thus the number,  $N$  of positive integers satisfying (3.9) satisfies

$$N \leq \frac{2n+1}{p}$$

Hence if  $p > (2n+1) \log n$ , then since for sufficiently large  $n$ ,  $N \rightarrow 0$ , and since the left hand side of (3.9) is a strict inequality, we will not be able to find any  $k$  for which (3.9) holds and hence (3.8) does not hold as well. Thus we need to worry about primes  $2n+1 < p \leq (2n+1) \log n$  in  $S_3$ , for sufficiently large  $n$ . Thus for sufficiently large  $n$  we have

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} S_3 &= \sum_{2n+1 < p \leq (2n+1) \log n} \log p \\ &\leq \sum_{p \leq (2n+1) \log n} \log p \\ &\leq 1.01624 (2n+1) \log n \end{aligned}$$

Putting the estimates for  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ , and  $S_3$  in (3.7) we obtain

$$(3.11) \quad \log \left( \prod_{p \leq \frac{(n+1)^2}{2}} p^{o_p((n+1)^2) - o_p(n^2)} \right) \leq 1.01624 n \log(2n+1) + 24n + 1.01624 (2n+1) \log n$$

### 3.2. Estimation of $\frac{(n+1)^2!}{n^2!}$ .

We have

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{(n+1)^2!}{n^2!} &= (n^2+1)(n^2+2)\dots(n^2+2n+1) \\ &> n^{2(2n+1)} \end{aligned}$$

Taking logarithm on both sides of (3.10), we obtain

$$(3.13) \quad \log \frac{(n+1)^2!}{n^2!} > 2(2n+1) \log n$$

### 3.3. Verification of 3.1.

From (3.9) and (3.11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\log \frac{(n+1)^2!}{n^2!} - \log \left( \prod_{p \leq \frac{(n+1)^2}{2}} p^{o_p((n+1)^2) - o_p(n^2)} \right) \\ &> 2(2n+1) \log n - [1.01624 n \log(2n+1) + 24n + 1.01624 (2n+1) \log n] \\ &> 0.98376(2n+1) \log n - 1.01624 n \log(2n+1) - 24n \\ &> 0.98376(2n+1) \log n - 1.01624 n \log n - 1.01624 n \log\left(2 + \frac{1}{n}\right) - 24n \\ &> 0.95128 n \log n + 0.98376 \log n - 1.01624 n \log\left(2 + \frac{1}{n}\right) - 24n \\ &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large  $n$ . This verifies (3.1) and proves Theorem 1.1 for sufficiently large  $n$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] ROSSER, J. BARKLEY; SCHOENFELD, LOWELL (1962): *Illinois J. Math.* 6: 6494, Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers.
- [2] APOSTOL, TOM M.: *Springer International Student Edition*, Introduction to Analytic Number Theory.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH

*Current address:* P.O. Sainik School, Sachivalaya Marg

*E-mail address:* `ankushg@niser.ac.in`