**Problem 1** Let  $f: [1, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$  be a non-increasing function such that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(2^{n+1})}{f(2^n)} < \frac{1}{2} \,.$$

Prove that

$$\int_1^\infty f(x)\,\mathrm{d}x < \infty\,.$$

Solution Since

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{2^{n+1} f(2^{n+1})}{2^n f(2^n)} < 1,$$

then by ratio test we obtain that the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n f(2^n)$$

converges. Using Cauchy condensation test we obtain that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)$$

converges. Now, by integral test for convergence we have

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x < \infty.$$

1	-	-	-	1
				L
				L
4				J

**Problem 2** Let M be the (tridiagonal)  $10 \times 10$  matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 3 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & -1 & 0 & & \vdots \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & 0 & -1 & 2 & \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & -1 & 0 \\ \vdots & & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Show that M has exactly nine positive real eigenvalues (counted with multiplicities). Solution Let  $x^T = (0, x_1, ..., x_9)$ . Then the direct calculation shows that

$$x^{T}Mx = x_{1}^{2} + (x_{2} - x_{1})^{2} + \dots + (x_{9} - x_{8})^{2} + x_{9}^{2}.$$
 (1)

Let  $\lambda_{\min} := \min\{\lambda \mid \lambda \in \sigma(M)\}$  (recall that if a matrix M is symmetric then  $\sigma(M) \subset \mathbb{R}$ ). Moreover, since M is symmetric, there exists an orthogonal matrix C such that  $C^TMC = \text{diag}\{\lambda_{\min}, \lambda_1..., \lambda_9\}$ . Hence we infer that  $y^T(\lambda_{\min}I - M)y \leq 0$  for  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{10}$ . Let  $y^T = (1, -1, 0, ..., 0)$ . Then  $2\lambda_{\min} \leq y^TMy = -5$ . Thus  $\lambda_{\min} < 0$ . Let  $V_1 = \{(0, x_1, ..., x_9) \mid x_i \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{10}$ . Then, in view of (1), we have

$$y^T M y \ge 0 \tag{2}$$

for any  $y \in V_1$  and  $y^T M y = 0$  if and only if y = 0.

Suppose on the contrary that M admits at least two nonpositive eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \sigma(M)$ . Consequently, there exist  $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{10}$  such that  $y_1 \perp y_2, y_1^T y_1 = y_2^T y_2 = 1$  and  $My_i = \lambda_i y_i$  (i = 1, 2). Put  $V_2 := \operatorname{span}\{y_1, y_2\}$ . Then for any  $y = \alpha_1 y_1 + \alpha_2 y_2 \in V_2$  one has

$$y^T M y = \alpha_1^2 \cdot \lambda_1 + \alpha_2^2 \cdot \lambda_2 \le 0.$$
(3)

Finally, we obtain that

$$\dim V_1 + \dim V_2 = 9 + 2 = 11 > 10.$$

Therefore  $V_1 \cap V_2 \neq \{0\}$ . Take  $0 \neq y \in V_1 \cap V_2$ . Then, in view of (2),  $y^T M y > 0$ . But (3) implies that  $y^T M y \leq 0$  – a contradiction.

**Problem 3** Let  $(A, +, \cdot)$  be a ring with unity, having the following property: for all  $x \in A$  either  $x^2 = 1$  or  $x^n = 0$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Show that A is a commutative ring.

**Solution** Denote by U(A) the multiplicative group of units of the ring  $A(U(A) = \{x \mid x \text{ is invertible}\})$ . Note first that  $(U(A), \cdot)$  is commutative, because if  $x, y \in U(A), (xy)^2 = 1 \Rightarrow xy \cdot xy = 1$ , and multiplying by x to the left and by y to the right and using also the fact that  $x^2 = 1 = y^2$ , we get that

$$xy = yx.$$
 (1)

We now show that if

 $x \notin U(A)$  then  $1 - x \in U(A)$ .

Assume, by contradiction, that

$$\exists n \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ so } x^n = 0; y^m = 0$$

and as

$$xy = x(1-x) = x - x^2 = (1-x)x = yx$$

 $\exists x \notin U(A)$  so  $y = 1 - x \notin U(A)$ .

we get that

By hypothesis,

$$(x+y)^{n+m} = \sum_{i+j=n+m} C^i_{n+m} x^i y^j = 0,$$

Note that whenever i + j = n + m we have

$$i \ge n \text{ or } j \ge m \text{ and so } x^i = 0 \text{ or } y^j = 0;$$

 $\operatorname{So}$ 

$$1 = x + y \notin U(A),$$

which is a contradiction; thus (2) is proved.

Commutativity in A follows now from (1) and (2) with a case by case analysis:  $x, y \in A$ ,

1. if  $x \in U(A)$ ,  $y \in U(A)$  then  $(1) \Rightarrow xy = yx$ ;

2. if 
$$x \in U(A)$$
,  $y \notin U(A)$  then  $(2) \Rightarrow 1 - y \in U(A)$  and from (1) we have  $x(1-y) = (1-y)x \Rightarrow xy = yx$ 

3. if  $x \notin U(A)$ ,  $y \in U(A)$  analogous to the case 2 and

4. if 
$$x \notin U(A)$$
,  $y \notin U(A)$  then  $(2) \Rightarrow 1 - x$ ,  $1 - y \in U(A)$ 

and using

$$(1-x)(1-y) = (1-y)(1-x) \Leftrightarrow 1-x-y+xy = 1-y-x+xy \Leftrightarrow xy = yx.$$

Now cases  $1 \rightarrow 4$  above show that A is a commutative ring.

(2)

**Problem 4** Let a, b, c, x, y, z, t be positive real numbers with  $1 \le x, y, z \le 4$ . Prove that

$$\frac{x}{(2a)^t} + \frac{y}{(2b)^t} + \frac{z}{(2c)^t} \ge \frac{y+z-x}{(b+c)^t} + \frac{z+x-y}{(c+a)^t} + \frac{x+y-z}{(a+b)^t}$$

**Solution** We will use the following variant of Schur's inequality. **Lemma 1** For arbitrary A, B, C > 0,

$$x(A-B)(A-C) + y(B-A)(B-C) + z(C-A)(C-B) \ge 0.$$

**Proof** Without loss of generality we can assume  $A \leq B \leq C$ . Let U = B - A and V = C - B. Then

$$LHS = xU(U+V) - yUV + z(U+V)V \ge U(U+V) - 4UV + (U+V)V = (U-V)^2 \ge 0.$$

**Lemma 2** For every p > 0,

$$\frac{1}{p^k} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(k)} \int_0^\infty t^{k-1} e^{-pt} \mathrm{d}t$$

**Proof** Substituting u = pt,

$$\int_0^\infty t^{k-1} e^{-pt} \, \mathrm{d}t = \frac{1}{p^k} \int_0^\infty u^{k-1} e^{-u} \, \mathrm{d}u = \frac{\Gamma(k)}{p^k}.$$

Now, applying Lemma 1 to  $A = e^{-at}$ ,  $B = e^{-bt}$ , and  $C = e^{-ct}$ , the statement can be proved as

$$0 \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{k-1} \left( x(e^{-at} - e^{-bt})(e^{-at} - e^{-ct}) + y(e^{-bt} - e^{-at})(e^{-bt} - e^{-ct}) + z(e^{-ct} - e^{-at})(e^{-ct} - e^{-bt}) \right) dt$$
  
$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{k-1} \left( xe^{-2at} + ye^{-2bt} + ze^{-2ct} - (y + z - x)e^{-(b+c)t} - (z + x - y)e^{-(c+a)t} - (x + y - x)e^{-(a+b)t} \right) dt$$
  
$$= \Gamma(k) \left( \frac{x}{(2a)^{k}} + \frac{y}{(2b)^{k}} + \frac{z}{(2c)^{k}} - \frac{y + z - x}{(b+c)^{k}} - \frac{z + x - y}{(c+a)^{k}} - \frac{x + y - z}{(a+b)^{k}} \right).$$

-	_	_	
L			